

REMARKS

This Amendment is submitted with a Request for Continued Examination. The Official Action dated June 4, 2007 has been carefully considered. Accordingly, the following remarks are believed sufficient to place the present application in condition for allowance. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

By the present Amendment, Claims 1 has been amended. Support for the claim amendment may be found in original claims 1-13. Since these changes do not involve any introduction of new matter, entry is believed to be in order and is respectfully requested.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 5, 12-14 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. It is believed that the presently amended claims overcome this rejection. Whereby this rejection has been overcome and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

In the Official Action, claims 1, 5, 12-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gale et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,487,495) in view of Want et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,122,520). However, as will be set forth in detail below, it is submitted that the methods defined by claims 1, 5, 12-14 are non-obvious and patentably distinguishable from Gale et al. in view of Want et al. Accordingly, this rejection is traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

To establish prima facie obviousness of the claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981; 180 U.S.P.Q. 580 (CCPA 1974). Moreover, in order for references to be relied upon to support a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 they must provide an enabling disclosure, i.e., they must place the claimed invention in the possession of the public. *Glaxo Inc. v. Novopharm Ltd.*, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d, 1565

(Fed. Cir. 1995); *In re Payne*, 203 U.S.P.Q. 245 (CCPA 1979). Stewart fail to satisfy these requirements.

Gale et al. disclose a system and method for specifying locations when using applications run on navigational systems. The system/method utilizes keywords to specify a physical location instead of specifying the conventional location information. There system/method utilizes a keyword database with then associates the keyword to the conventional location information. Want et al. disclose a location information systems using a positioning system such as GPS. The location specific information may reside on a web page and be incorporated into a web page address that links to an existing web page associated with the coordinate entry.

The present invention, as defined by claim 1 relates to a location information sharing method based on wired and wireless internet using location identification (ID). The method comprises: writing a location ID in a content of a document, wherein the location ID comprises a UserID and DomainName separated by a separator symbol; searching a registered location information utilizing a location ID linked to a URL, wherein the URL includes the UserID and DomainName as parameters, on one of a plural of location information domain servers, wherein a wired or wireless terminal connects with a web site corresponding to the URL; requesting the location information domain server to search a location information corresponding to the location ID; transmitting the location information including a coordinate value searched by the location ID from the location information domain server to the wired or wireless terminal requesting the location information; and performing application functions, wherein the application functions include viewing a map around a location coordinate contained in the location information corresponding to location ID on the wired or wireless terminal.

Gale et al. alone or in combination with Want et al. fail to teach or disclose several of the limitations of the presently claimed invention. It is therefore submitted that the presently claimed methods are nonobvious over and patentably distinguishable from Gale et al. in view of Want et al., whereby the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 has been overcome. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 04-1133, including any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for presentation of extra claims and any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

It is believed that the above represents a complete response to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§103 and 112, and places the present application in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and an early allowance are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Geoffrey L. Oberhaus /
Geoffrey L. Oberhaus
Registration No. 42,955
Attorney for Applicant
DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP
1900 Chemed Center
255 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202
(513) 977-8623